	Case 2:05-cv-00831-JLR	Document 23	Filed 12/06/05	Page 1 of 2	
01					
02					
03					
04					
05					
06					
07					
08	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
09	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
10	TONY DALE PENWELL,)			
11	·)			
12	Plaintiff,) Case)	e No. 05-0831-JLI	R-JPD	
13	V.)) ORI	DER DENYING F	PLAINTIFF'S	
14	ROBIN CLEARY, et al.,) REQ) REQUEST FOR) RECONSIDERATION)		
15	Defendants.) KE(
16)			
17	On November 28, 2005, plaintiff filed a "motion for order of summary judgment				
18	objecting stay of [sic] procedure." Dkt. No. 22. Because the motion appears to challenge the				
19	Court's November 29th, 2005, order granting defendants' motion to stay this case, the Court				
20	construes the motion as one for reconsideration. Having carefully reviewed the motion and				
21	the record, the Court ORDERS as follows:				
22	(1) Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, Dkt. No. 22, is DENIED. Motions for				
23	reconsideration are disfavored and will ordinarily be denied in the "absence of a showing of				
24					
25	manifest error or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been				
26					
	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION				
	PAGE -1				

Case 2:05-cv-00831-JLR Document 23 Filed 12/06/05 Page 2 of 2

brought to [the Court's] attention earlier without reasonable diligence." Local Rule CR 7(h). Here, plaintiff has identified no error in the Court's order, nor presented any new facts or legal authority that were previously unavailable. To the contrary, the Court notes that the arguments contained in this motion are nearly identical to those already present in the record and that they should have been timely filed as an opposition to defendants' motion to stay this matter. Plaintiff's motion is therefore denied.

DATED this 5th day of December, 2005.

JAMES P. DONOHUE United States Magistrate Judge

amer P. Donobue

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION